



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/651,113

08/28/2003

Aveek Sarkar

188184/US

7868

66083

7590

02/26/2008

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. c/o DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP  
370 SEVENTEENTH ST.  
SUITE 4700  
DENVER, CO 80202

EXAMINER

SANDOVAL, PATRICK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2825

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/651,113	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SARKAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PATRICK SANDOVAL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2825	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICK SANDOVAL. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) JOHN KETCHUM. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 22, 30 and 37.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kovacs-Birkas et al. (US 7,149,991).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Sandoval and Mr. John Ketchum discussed the newly added claim limitation of a circuit block "netlist" to claims 1, 12, 22, 30 and 37. It was agreed by Examiner Sandoval that said added claim limitation overcame previously applied prior art reference made of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Patrick Sandoval/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2825

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required